

# Scope, Function Calls and Storage Management

Angelo Gargantini

capitolo 7 del  
Mitchell


# Topics

- Block-structured languages and stack storage
- In-line Blocks
  - activation records
  - storage for local, global variables
- First-order functions
  - parameter passing
  - tail recursion and iteration
- Higher-order functions
  - deviations from stack discipline
  - language expressiveness => implementation complexity

# Block-Structured Languages

- Nested blocks, local variables

- Example




- Storage management

- Enter block: allocate space for variables
    - Exits block: some or all space may be deallocated

# Examples

- Blocks in common languages
  - C/c++/Java      { ... }
  - Algol            begin ... end
  - ML                let ... in ... end
- Two forms of blocks
  - In-line blocks
  - Blocks associated with functions or procedures
- Topic: block-based memory management, access to local variables, parameters, global vars

# Simplified Machine Model



# Interested in Memory Mgmt Only

- Registers, Code segment, Program counter
  - Ignore registers
  - Details of instruction set will not matter
- Data Segment
  - Stack contains data related to block entry/exit
  - Heap contains data of varying lifetime
  - Environment pointer points to current stack position
    - Block entry: add new activation record to stack
    - Block exit: remove most recent activation record

# Some basic concepts

- Scope
  - Region of program text where declaration is visible
- Lifetime
  - Period of time when location is allocated to program

```
{ int x = ... ;  
  |  
  { int y = ... ;  
    |  
    { int x = ... ;  
      ....  
    };  
  };  
};
```

- Inner declaration of x hides outer one.
- Called “hole in scope”
- Lifetime of outer x includes time when inner block is executed
- Lifetime  $\neq$  scope
- Lines indicate “contour model” of scope.

# In-line Blocks

- Activation record
  - Data structure stored on run-time stack
  - Contains space for local variables
- Example


```
{ int x=0;  
  int y=x+1;  
    { int z=(x+y)*(x-y);  
      };  
};
```

```
Push record with space for x, y  
Set values of x, y  
  Push record for inner block  
  Set value of z  
  Pop record for inner block  
Pop record for outer block
```

May need space for variables and intermediate results like  $(x+y)$ ,  $(x-y)$



# Activation record for in-line block



- Control link
  - pointer to previous record on stack
- Push record on stack:
  - Set new control link to point to old env ptr
  - Set env ptr to new record
- Pop record off stack
  - Follow control link of current record to reset environment pointer

# Example

```
{ int x=0;
  int y=x+1;
  { int z=(x+y)*(x-y);
  };
};
```

Push record with space for x, y (set control link = old env pointer, set env pointer )


Set values of x, y

Push record for inner block

Set value of z

Pop record for inner block (set env pointer to control link)

Pop record for outer block



# Scoping rules

- Global and local variables

- x, y are local to outer block
- z is local to inner block
- x, y are global to inner block

```
{ int x=0;  
  int y=x+1;  
    { int z=(x+y)*(x-y);  
      };  
};
```

- ◆ Static scope

- global refers to declaration in closest enclosing block

- ◆ Dynamic scope

- global refers to most recent activation record

These are same until we consider function calls.

# Esercizio 7.1

# Functions and procedures


- Syntax of procedures (Algol) and functions (C)

procedure P (<pars>)	<type> function f(<pars>)
begin	{
<local vars>	<local vars>
<proc body>	<function body>
end;	};

- Activation record must include space for


- parameters
  - return address
  - return value
  - location to put return value on function exit
- (and intermediate result)

# Activation record for function




- Return address
  - Location of code to execute on function return
- Return-result address
  - Address in activation record of calling block to receive return address
- Parameters
  - Locations to contain data from calling block

# Example




- Function
  - fact(n) = if  $n \leq 1$  then 1
  - else  $n * \text{fact}(n-1)$
- Return result address
  - location to put fact(n)
- Parameter
  - set to value of n by calling sequence
- Intermediate result
  - locations to contain value of fact(n-1)

# Function call



$\text{fact}(n) = \text{if } n \leq 1 \text{ then } 1$   
 $\text{else } n * \text{fact}(n-1)$



Return address omitted; would be ptr into code segment



Function return next slide →



# Function return



$\text{fact}(n) = \text{if } n \leq 1 \text{ then } 1$   
 $\text{else } n * \text{fact}(n-1)$

# Topics for first-order functions

- Parameter passing
  - use ML reference cells to describe pass-by-value, pass-by-reference
- Access to global variables
  - global variables are contained in an activation record higher “up” the stack
- Tail recursion
  - an optimization for certain recursive functions

See this yourself: write factorial and run under debugger

# ML imperative features (review)

- General terminology: L-values and R-values
  - Assignment  $y := x+3$ 
    - Identifier on left refers to location, called its L-value
    - Identifier on right refers to contents, called R-value
- ML reference cells and assignment (anche in C++)
  - Different types for location and contents
    - $x : \text{int}$  non-assignable integer value
    - $y : \text{int ref}$  location whose contents must be integer
    - $!y$  the contents
    - $\text{ref } x$  expression creating new cell initialized to  $x$
  - ML form of assignment
    - $y := x+3$  place value of  $x+3$  in location (cell)  $y$
    - $y := !y + 3$  add 3 to contents of  $y$  and store in location  $y$

# Parameter passing

- Pass-by-reference
  - Caller places L-value (address) of actual parameter in activation record
  - Function can assign to variable that is passed
- Pass-by-value
  - Caller places R-value (contents) of actual parameter in activation record
  - Function cannot change value of caller's variable
  - Reduces aliasing (alias: two names refer to same loc)

# Example

pseudo-code

```
function f (x) =  
  { x := x+1; return x };  
var y : int = 0;  
print f(y)+y;
```

*pass-by-ref*



Standard ML

```
fun f (x : int ref) =  
  ( x := !x+1; !x );  
y = ref 0 : int ref;  
f(y) + !y;
```

*pass-by-value*



```
fun f (z : int) =  
  let x = ref z in  
    x := !x+1; !x  
  end;  
y = ref 0 : int ref;  
f(!y) + !y;
```

# Example

pseudo-code

```
function f (x) =  
    { x := x+1; return x };  
var y : int = 0;  
print f(y)+y;
```


*pass-by-ref*



C++

```
int fun f (int & x) {  
    x = x+1;  
    return x;  
}  
int y = 0;  
cout<< f(y) + y;
```

*pass-by-value*



```
int fun f (int x) {  
    x = x+1;  
    return x;  
}
```

```
int y = 0;  
cout<< f(y) + y;
```

# Parameter passing & activation record

- pass by value: the value of the actual parameter is copied in the activation record as value of the formal parameter
- pass by ref: the address of the actual parameter is copied in the activation record

# Access to global variables

- Two possible scoping conventions
  - Static scope: refer to closest enclosing block
  - Dynamic scope: most recent activation record on stack
- Example


```
int x=1;  
function g(z) = x+z;  
function f(y) =  
    { int x = y+1;  
      return g(y*x) };  
f(3);
```

outer block	<table border="1"><tr><td>x</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	x	1		
x	1				
f(3)	<table border="1"><tr><td>y</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>x</td><td>4</td></tr></table>	y	3	x	4
y	3				
x	4				
g(12)	<table border="1"><tr><td>z</td><td>12</td></tr></table>	z	12		
z	12				

Which x is used for expression x+z ?




# Activation record for static scope



- Control (dynamic) link
  - Link to activation record of previous (calling) block
- Access (static) link
  - Link to activation record of closest enclosing block in program text
- Difference
  - Control link depends on dynamic behavior of prog
  - Access link depends on static form of program text

# Complex nesting structure


```
function m(...) {  
  int x=1;  
  ...  
  function n( ... ){  
    function g(z) = x+z;  
    ...  
    { ...  
      function f(y) {  
        int x = y+1;  
        return g(y*x) };  
      ...  
      f(3); ... }  
    ... n( ... ) ...}  
  ... m()...
```



```
int x=1;  
function g(z) = x+z;  
function f(y) =  
  { int x = y+1;  
    return g(y*x) };  
f(3);
```


Simplified code has same block nesting, if we follow convention that each declaration begins a new block.

# Static scope with access links



Use access link to find global variable:

- Access link is always set to frame of closest enclosing lexical block
- For function body, this is block that contains function



# Tail recursion (first-order case)

- Function  $g$  makes a *tail call* to function  $f$  if
  - Return value of function  $f$  is return value of  $g$
- Example

fun  $g(x) =$  if  $x > 0$  then return  $f(x)$  else return  $f(x) * 2$


*tail call* (pointing to  $f(x)$ )      *not a tail call* (pointing to  $f(x) * 2$ )

- Optimization
  - Can pop activation record on a tail call
  - Especially useful for recursive tail call
    - next activation record has exactly same form




# Example

Calculate least power of 2 greater than  $y$

$f(1,3)$



```
fun f(x,y) = if x>y
  then ret x
  else ret f(2*x, y);
f(1,3) + 7;
```



## Optimization

- Set return value address to that of caller

## Question


- Can we do the same with control link?

## Optimization


- avoid return to caller

# Tail recursion elimination


f(1,3)



f(2,3)



f(4,3)



```
fun f(x,y) = if x>y
  then x
  else f(2*x, y);
f(1,3);
```

## Optimization

- pop followed by push = reuse activation record in place

## Conclusion

- Tail recursive function equiv to iterative loop

# Tail recursion and iteration

f(1,3)

control		↑
return val		↑
x	1	
y	3	

f(2,3)

control		↑
return val		↑
x	2	
y	3	

f(4,3)

control		↑
return val		↑
x	4	
y	3	

```
fun f(x,y) = if x > y  
  then x  
  else f(2*x, y);  
f(1,y);
```

test

loop body

initial value

```
fun g(y) = {  
  x := 1;  
  while not(x > y) do  
    x := 2*x;  
  return x;  
};
```

# Higher-Order Functions

- Language features
  - Functions passed as arguments
  - Functions that return functions from nested blocks
  - Need to maintain environment of function
- Simpler case
  - Function passed as argument
  - Need pointer to activation record “higher up” in stack
- More complicated second case
  - Function returned as result of function call
  - Need to keep activation record of returning function



# Example

no- da  
qui in poi

Why this example here at this point in the lecture????

- Map function

```
fun map (f, nil) = nil | map(f, x::xs) = f(x) :: map(f,xs)
```

- Modify repeated elements in list

```
fun modify(l) =
```

```
  let val c = ref (hd l)
```

```
      fun f(y) = ((if y = !c then c:=y+1 else c:=y); !c)
```

```
  in
```

```
    (hd l) :: map(f, tl l)
```

```
  end;
```

```
modify [1,2,2,3,4] ==> [1,2,3,4,5]
```

Exercise: pure functional version of modify

# Pass function as argument

```
val x = 4;
  fun f(y) = x*y;
    fun g(h) = let
      val x=7
      in
        h(3) + x;
      end
  end
g(f);
```


```
{ int x = 4;
  { int f(int y) {return x*y;}
    { int g(int→int h) {
      int x=7;
      return h(3) + x;
    }
  }
g(f);
```

There are two declarations of x

Which one is used for each occurrence of x?

# Static Scope for Function Argument

```
val x = 4;  
  fun f(y) = x*y;  
    fun g(h) =  
      let  
        val x=7  
      in  
        h(3) + x;  
      g(f);
```




follow access  
link

local var

How is access link for h(3) set?

# Static Scope for Function Argument

```
{ int x = 4;
  { int f(int y) {return x*y;}
    { int g(int→int h) {
      int x=7;
      return h(3) + x;
    }
    g(f);
  }
}
```



`x * y`

local var

follow access  
link

How is access link for `h(3)` set?


# Closures

- Function value is pair *closure* =  $\langle env, code \rangle$
- When a function represented by a closure is called,
  - Allocate activation record for call (as always)
  - Set the access link in the activation record using the environment pointer from the closure

# Function Argument and Closures

## Run-time stack with access links


```
val x = 4;  
fun f(y) = x*y;  
fun g(h) =  
  let  
    val x=7  
  in  
    h(3) + x;  
  end  
end  
g(f);
```



# Function Argument and Closures

## Run-time stack with access links

```
{ int x = 4;  
  { int f(int y){return x*y;}  
    { int g(int→int h) {  
      int x=7;  
      return h(3)+x;  
    }  
    g(f);  
  }  
}
```



# Summary: Function Arguments

- Use closure to maintain a pointer to the static environment of a function body
- When called, set access link from closure
- All access links point “up” in stack
  - May jump past activ records to find global vars
  - Still deallocate activ records using stack (lifo) order



# Return Function as Result

- Language feature
  - Functions that return “new” functions
  - Need to maintain environment of function
- Example
  - `fun compose(f,g) = (fn x => g(f x));`
- Function “created” dynamically
  - expression with free variables
    - values are determined at run time
  - function value is closure =  $\langle \text{env}, \text{code} \rangle$
  - code *not* compiled dynamically (in most languages)

# Example: Return fctn with private state

```
fun mk_counter (init : int) =  
  let val count = ref init  
      fun counter(inc:int) =  
        (count := !count + inc; !count)  
      in  
        counter  
      end;  
  val c = mk_counter(1);  
  c(2) + c(2);
```

- Function to “make counter” returns a closure
- How is correct value of `count` determined in `c(2)` ?

# Example: Return fctn with private state


```
{int→int mk_counter (int init) {  
    int count = init;  
    int counter(int inc) { return count += inc;}  
    return counter}  
int→int c = mk_counter(1);  
print c(2) + c(2);  
}
```

Function to “make counter” returns a closure

How is correct value of count determined in call c(2) ?

# Function Results and Closures


```
fun mk_counter (init : int) =  
  let val count = ref init  
      fun counter(inc:int) = (count := !count + inc; !count)  
      in counter end  
end;  
val c = mk_counter(1);  
c(2) + c(2);
```



# Function Results and Closures

```
{int→int mk_counter (int init) {  
    int count = init;  int counter(int inc) { return count+=inc;}  
}
```

```
int→int c = mk_counter(1);  
print c(2) + c(2);  
}
```



Call changes cell  
value from 1 to 3

# Summary: Return Function Results

- Use closure to maintain static environment
- May need to keep activation records after return
  - Stack (lifo) order fails!
- Possible “stack” implementation
  - Forget about explicit deallocation
  - Put activation records on heap
  - Invoke garbage collector as needed
  - Not as totally crazy as it sounds
    - May only need to search reachable data

# Summary of scope issues

- Block-structured lang uses stack of activ records
  - Activation records contain parameters, local vars, ...
  - Also pointers to enclosing scope
- Several different parameter passing mechanisms
- Tail calls may be optimized
- Function parameters/results require closures
  - Closure environment pointer used on function call
  - Stack deallocation may fail if function returned from call
  - Closures *not* needed if functions not in nested blocks